Budget Scrutiny Recommendations

	ts and Health Panel (Priority 2)			
Ref	MTFS Proposal	Further info requested by the Panel (if appropriate)	Recommendation	Cabinet Response Required (Yes/No)
2.1	Supported Housing Review	None	Noted	No
2.2	Osborne Grove	None	Noted	No
2.3	Fees and charges review	That the Equality Impact Assessment, for the Disability Related Expenditure proposal, be made available for consideration by OSC on 30 January, before final budget scrutiny recommendations are agreed. This should include narrative on the individual impact of the proposal.	That concern be expressed about the potential impact of the Disability Related Expenditure proposal and that consideration be given to limiting the impact by reducing the cut and by spreading the reduction out over five years, rather than three.	Yes
			That a report be made to a future meeting of the Panel on the impact of the proposed DRE changes. This should include monitoring of the Equality Impact Assessment action plan and consideration of how changes are monitored via annual care assessments. Consideration should also be given to commissioning an independent audit to ensure the impact of any change is fully understood.	Yes

		The principle of charging for a	That agreem he averaged chart the	Yes		
		The principle of charging for a	That concern be expressed about the	165		
		whole package of care, rather	timing of the Transport to Day			
		than treating travel costs	Opportunities saving proposal,			
		separately, was supported by the	especially in view of the number of			
		Panel. However, it was agreed	changes already taking place across			
		further information, about the	day activities for people with learning			
		cost implications of the Transport	disabilities and older people with			
		to Day Opportunities proposal,	dementia. With this in mind,			
		especially the total number of	consideration should be given to			
		service users affected, should be	moving this proposal back to later in			
		made available to OSC, before	the MTFS period.			
		final budget scrutiny				
0.4	T. d. d.	recommendations are agreed.	Nice	A1.		
2.4	Technology	None	Noted	No		
0.5	improvement	No.	Nice	A1.		
2.5	Market	None	Noted	No		
0.0	efficiencies	That additional information on	That the Oak is at Massach as fas Figure	V		
2.6	New Models of	That additional information, on	That the Cabinet Member for Finance	Yes		
	Care	New Models of Care, be made	and Health be asked to host a			
		available for consideration by the	Member Learning and Development			
		Overview and Scrutiny	session, for all Members during the			
I		Committee before final budget	first half of 2017, on New Models of			
		scrutiny recommendations are	Care. This should include an update			
		agreed. This should include	on the Haringey and Islington Health			
		narrative on the range/type of	and Wellbeing Boards.			
		savings proposed, including	That are sometimes are recovered and the second			
		staffing, to demonstrate how	That an update on progress with the			
		savings of £1.4 million would be	development of New Models of Care			
		achieved.	be submitted to a future meeting of			
A man Ottle and O			the Panel during 2017/18.			
Any Other Comments						

Appendix A2